

# Maternal Obesity and Risk of Infant Death Based on Florida Birth Records for 2004

---

DANIEL R. THOMPSON, MPH<sup>a</sup>  
CHERYL L. CLARK, MPH, RHIA<sup>a</sup>  
BETSY WOOD, BSN, MPH<sup>a</sup>  
MARY BETH ZENI, ScD<sup>b</sup>

## SYNOPSIS

**Objective.** The purpose of this study was to assess the relationship between pre-pregnancy maternal obesity and risk of infant death.

**Methods.** In March 2004, maternal height and pre-pregnancy weight were added to the data collected on the Florida birth certificate. Using birth records linked to infant deaths, these data were used to assess the relationship between pre-pregnancy maternal obesity, as measured by body mass index, and infant death.

**Results.** Pre-pregnancy maternal obesity was associated with increased odds of infant death. The increased risk was found with and without adjustments for maternal race, marital status, age, education, trimester prenatal care began, first birth, and tobacco use.

**Conclusion.** There is a substantial and significant association between pre-pregnancy maternal obesity and infant death.

---

<sup>a</sup>Florida Department of Health, Division of Family Health Services, Tallahassee, FL

<sup>b</sup>Florida State University, College of Nursing, Tallahassee, FL

Address correspondence to: Daniel R. Thompson, MPH, Florida Department of Health, Division of Family Health Services, 4052 Bald Cypress Way, Bin A-13, Tallahassee, FL 32399-1723; tel. 850-245-4444, ext. 2974; fax 850-245-4047; e-mail <dan\_thompson@doh.state.fl.us>.

©2008 Association of Schools of Public Health

The large and growing prevalence of obesity among the U.S. population<sup>1</sup> has led to increased concern in the medical and public health fields due to the strong relationships found among obesity, illness, and mortality.<sup>2</sup> A 2003–2004 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey showed that 17.1% of U.S. adults aged 20 and older were overweight and 16.5% were obese, which equates to 33.6% of the U.S. adult population being classified as either overweight or obese.<sup>3</sup> Consistent with the increasing focus on weight and health outcomes of the general population, maternal pre-pregnancy weight is also being assessed for impact on perinatal health outcomes. Published studies have shown significant associations between pre-pregnancy maternal overweight/obese status and increased risks of pregnancy complications, such as cesarean delivery, gestational diabetes, preeclampsia/eclampsia, macrosomia, and congenital anomalies.<sup>4–9</sup> In studies conducted in Sweden and Denmark, the relationship between maternal pre-pregnancy weight and pregnancy outcome was examined. These studies found that women with higher pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) values had increased odds of fetal death and early neonatal death compared with women who had lower pre-pregnancy BMI values.<sup>10–13</sup>

In 2005, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists released guidance to obstetricians and gynecologists on obesity in pregnancy that recommended the collection of maternal height and weight during the first prenatal visit for BMI index calculations.<sup>14</sup> The intent was to facilitate monitoring of weight gain during pregnancy, to advise pregnant women on the risk of obesity in pregnancy, and to assist in recommendations for postpartum weight loss and management.

In 2001, the National Center for Health Statistics Panel to Evaluate the U.S. Standard Certificates recommended that maternal height and pre-pregnancy weight be added to U.S. birth certificates to allow BMI calculation due to the association of maternal BMI to perinatal outcomes.<sup>15</sup> In March 2004, the Florida Department of Health, Office of Vital Statistics implemented a revised Florida birth record that includes maternal height and pre-pregnancy weight. The addition of these two measures in 2004 made it possible to conduct Florida population-based analyses using BMI.

The purpose of this analysis was to assess and quantify the relationship between pre-pregnancy BMI and risk of infant death for the 2004 Florida birth cohort. To our knowledge, this analysis is the first to use a statewide birth cohort to examine the relationship between obesity and infant death.

## METHODS

The data used for this analysis were the Florida resident birth records from March 2004 to December 2004. The birth records of infants born in January and February 2004 were excluded, because birth records in Florida prior to March 2004 did not include maternal height and pre-pregnancy weight information. For this analysis, 183,618 total resident birth records were linked to 1,288 resident infant death records. There were 17,317 (9.4%) linked records excluded due to missing data for the required variables. These excluded birth records were linked to 273 infant death records, which were also excluded. Remaining were 166,301 (90.6%) birth records linked to 1,015 (78.8%) infant death records for the analysis.

BMI is a measure of weight relative to height. The formula for BMI is:  $[(\text{weight in pounds}) / (\text{height in inches})^2] \times 703$ .<sup>16</sup> BMI calculations were used to classify the maternal pre-pregnancy weight into five categories as defined by clinical guidelines from the the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute's Expert Panel on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults:<sup>16</sup> (1) underweight (BMI <18.5), (2) normal (BMI 18.5–24.9), (3) overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9), (4) obese (BMI 30.0–39.9), and (5) morbidly obese (BMI 40+).

Multivariate logistic regression was used to compute adjusted odds ratios (AORs) and associated confidence intervals for infant death. Infant death was used as the dependent variable and the BMI categories were used as dummy variables in Model 1 of the multivariate logistic regression analysis. Using Chi-square statistics, maternal race, marital status, age, education, tobacco use, first birth, and trimester of entry into prenatal care were found to be statistically significantly associated with obesity and infant death, and were therefore included in subsequent regression models to adjust for potential confounding. These variables were also assessed as potential effect modifiers for the BMI variables. This was done by using all first-level interaction terms between the BMI variables and the adjusting variables, in the logistic regression analysis.

In reviews of research literature, obesity has been found to be causally associated with diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and preeclampsia.<sup>17,18</sup> These conditions have also been found to be associated with adverse perinatal outcomes.<sup>19–24</sup> Assessments of risk for women with these conditions might affect the relationship between infant death and maternal pre-pregnancy weight. To determine the extent of the potential influence associated with these conditions, a subset analysis was performed that computed adjusted odds ratios for

cases that did not have any of these aforementioned conditions indicated on the birth record. There were 150,369 of the 166,301 analysis records that met the criteria of "birth records without the previously listed maternal complications," leaving 15,932 records excluded in this subset analysis. The AORs for women without the specified complications were compared to the AORs for all women to assess any differences in association.

## RESULTS

Table 1 displays the frequency distributions for the independent variables and the infant death rates

among the subgroups defined by the independent variables. The rates in Table 1 were unadjusted and show that higher infant death rates were associated with maternal obesity, black race, unmarried marital status, young age, older age, smoking, and late entry into prenatal care. In the obesity categories, the rate was lowest for the normal BMI category and the rates were higher for the underweight and the higher BMI categories.

Table 2 compares records excluded from the analysis because of missing values to records that were included in the analysis. As shown in Table 2, births that were linked to infant deaths were more likely to be excluded, as 21.2% of the 1,288 linked birth records

**Table 1. Maternal demographic statistics and infant death rates for Florida infants born from March through December 2004**

	<i>Number of births</i>	<i>Percent of births</i>	<i>Number of infant deaths</i>	<i>Percent of infant deaths</i>	<i>Infant death rate per 1,000 births</i>
Included in the analysis	166,301	90.6	1,015	78.8	6.10
Excluded due to missing values	17,317	9.4	273	21.2	15.76
Total	183,618	100.0	1,288	100.0	7.01
Underweight (BMI <18.5)	9,023	5.4	59	5.8	6.54
Normal weight (BMI 18.5–24.9)	87,066	52.4	463	45.6	5.32
Overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9)	39,046	23.5	250	24.6	6.40
Obese (BMI 30.0–39.9)	26,224	15.8	190	18.7	7.25
Morbidly obese (BMI 40+)	4,942	3.0	53	5.2	10.72
Total	166,301	100.0	1,015	100.0	6.10
Maternal race black	35,287	21.2	399	39.3	11.31
Maternal race non-black	131,014	78.8	616	60.7	4.70
Total	166,301	100.0	1,015	100.0	6.10
Unmarried	67,023	40.3	559	55.1	8.34
Married	99,278	59.7	456	44.9	4.59
Total	166,301	100.0	1,015	100.0	6.10
Maternal age 40+	5,037	3.0	41	4.0	8.14
Maternal age 18–39	155,455	93.5	900	88.7	5.79
Maternal age <18	5,809	3.5	74	7.3	12.74
Total	166,301	100.0	1,015	100.0	6.10
Maternal tobacco use	12,334	7.4	112	11.0	9.08
Maternal tobacco non-use	153,967	92.6	903	89.0	5.86
Total	166,301	100.0	1,015	100.0	6.10
First birth	69,907	42.0	417	41.1	5.97
Not first birth	96,394	58.0	598	58.9	6.20
Total	166,301	100.0	1,015	100.0	6.10
First trimester prenatal care	111,445	67.0	412	40.6	3.70
Second trimester prenatal care	25,662	15.4	131	12.9	5.10
Third, none, or unknown prenatal care	29,194	17.6	472	46.5	16.17
Total	166,301	100.0	1,015	100.0	6.10
Selected maternal risk factors present <sup>a</sup>	15,932	9.6	100	9.9	6.28
Selected maternal risk factors not present <sup>a</sup>	150,369	90.4	915	90.1	6.09
Total	166,301	100.0	1,015	100.0	6.10

<sup>a</sup>Includes diabetes, gestational diabetes, chronic hypertension, preeclampsia, and eclampsia

BMI = body mass index

**Table 2. Comparison of maternal characteristics for infants included in the analysis and infants excluded due to missing data for Florida infants born from March through December 2004**

	<i>Included in analysis</i>	<i>Excluded due to missing data</i>	<i>Total</i>
Number of births	166,301	17,317	183,618
Percent	90.6	9.4	100.0
Number of infant deaths	1,015	273	1,288
Percent	78.8	21.2	100.0
Number of infants with maternal BMI	166,301	15,142	181,443
Percent	91.7	8.3	100.0
Number of infants with birth weight <500 grams	229	96	325
Percent	70.5	29.5	100.0
Number of infants with maternal race black	35,287	4,873	40,160
Percent	87.9	12.1	100.0
Number of infants with unmarried mothers	67,023	7,452	74,475
Percent	90.0	10.0	100.0
Number of infants with unknown marital status	0	881	881
Percent	0	100.0	100.0
Number of infants with maternal age <18 years	5,809	625	6,434
Percent	90.3	9.7	100.0
Number of infants with maternal age 18–39 years	155,455	16,071	171,526
Percent	90.6	9.4	100.0
Number of infants with maternal age >39 years	5,037	602	5,639
Percent	89.3	10.7	100.0
Number of infants with unknown maternal age	0	19	19
Percent	0	100.0	100.0
Number of infants with maternal education <high school	34,007	4,285	38,292
Percent	88.8	11.2	100.0
Number of infants with maternal education unknown	0	1,859	1,859
Percent	0	100.0	100.0

BMI = body mass index

were excluded and overall only 9.4% of the 183,618 total records were excluded. A similar pattern was evident for records of infants born weighing less than 500 grams. There were a small number of these births ( $n=325$ ), but a relatively high proportion (29.5%) were excluded due to missing values. The distribution of maternal characteristics was similar between the records included and excluded in this analysis. For example, 12.1% of the births to black mothers were excluded, and 10.0% of the births to unmarried mothers were excluded, which was closer to the overall exclusion percentage of 9.4%.

Table 3 shows the results from a series of logistic regression models. Model 1 displays the unadjusted odds ratios for infant death associated with the four BMI categories: underweight (BMI <18.5), overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9), obese (BMI 30.0–39.9), and morbidly obese (BMI 40+). The reference group for the four BMI groups included infants whose mothers had a pre-pregnancy BMI in the normal range (18.5–24.9).

Model 2 gives the odds ratios for the BMI variables

adjusted for associations between the BMI variables and the demographic variables. As a result, all of the BMI odds ratios in Model 2 are lower than the odds ratios in Model 1. This suggests that a portion of the association between infant death and the BMI variables in Model 1 was due to the association between the BMI variables and the demographic variables.

Model 2 shows that infants born to women who were obese had odds of infant death that were 23% higher than the odds for the reference group of infants born to women with a normal BMI (AOR = 1.23, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.03, 1.46). The association between maternal pre-pregnancy weight and infant death was more pronounced for infants born to women classified as morbidly obese with odds of infant death that were 70% higher than the reference group (AOR=1.70, 95% CI 1.28, 2.28).

In Model 3, regression analysis was performed on the subset of births with no indication of maternal chronic/gestational diabetes, chronic/gestational hypertension, preeclampsia, or eclampsia on the birth record. These

**Table 3. Infant death odds ratios for Florida infants born from March through December 2004 linked to infant deaths by maternal BMI**

Risk factor	Model 1: infant death unadjusted		Model 2: infant death adjusted <sup>a</sup>		Model 3: <sup>b</sup> infant death adjusted <sup>a</sup>	
	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)
Underweight (BMI <18.5)	1.23	(0.94, 1.62)	1.07	(0.81, 1.41)	1.10	(0.83, 1.45)
Normal weight (BMI 18.5–24.9)	Referent		Referent		Referent	
Overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9)	1.21	(1.03, 1.41) <sup>c</sup>	1.14	(0.98, 1.34)	1.12	(0.95, 1.32)
Obese (BMI 30.0–39.9)	1.37	(1.15, 1.62) <sup>c</sup>	1.23	(1.03, 1.46) <sup>c</sup>	1.23	(1.03, 1.48) <sup>c</sup>
Morbidly obese (BMI 40+)	2.03	(1.52, 2.70) <sup>c</sup>	1.70	(1.28, 2.28) <sup>c</sup>	1.70	(1.22, 2.36) <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for maternal race, age, marital status, education, tobacco use, first birth, and trimester of entry into prenatal care

<sup>b</sup>Model 3 includes only births where the mother did not have chronic diabetes, gestational diabetes, chronic high blood pressure, pregnancy-related high blood pressure, preeclampsia, or eclampsia indicated on the birth record.

<sup>c</sup>Statistically significant at the alpha=0.05 level

BMI = body mass index

OR = odds ratio

CI = confidence interval

subset criteria resulted in the exclusion of 15,932 records from the primary dataset, which left 150,369 records for subset analysis. As explained previously, these exclusions were performed to control directly for potential influence from possible associations between these conditions and the analysis variables. As shown in Table 2, the AORs in Model 3 are very close to those in Model 2, which indicates the results were not substantially affected by presence or absence of these conditions as recorded on the birth record.

In assessing potential effect modification between the adjusting variables and the BMI variables, it was found that only the maternal race variable was associated with statistically significant effect modification.

The implication of the effect modification is that the AORs were significantly different for the two racial subgroups. To illustrate the difference, the AORs were computed separately for the two racial subgroups of black and non-black (Table 4). As suggested by the effect modification analysis, the pattern of the BMI-infant mortality association, as indicated by the AORs, was different for these two groups.

For infants born to black women, the AORs in the overweight and obese categories were statistically significant, while for infants born to non-black women, the corresponding AORs were not associated with increased risk. For example, in the obese category, the AORs for infants born to black mothers was 1.50

**Table 4. Infant death odds ratios for Florida infants born from March through December 2004 linked to infant deaths by maternal BMI and race**

Risk factor	Maternal race black infant death AOR <sup>a</sup>		Maternal race non-black infant death AOR <sup>a</sup>	
	(95% CI)		(95% CI)	
Underweight (BMI <18.5) <sup>b</sup>	0.55	(0.28, 1.09)	1.28	(0.94, 1.73)
Normal weight (BMI 18.5–24.9)	Referent		Referent	
Overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9)	1.39	(1.08, 1.78) <sup>c</sup>	1.01	(0.83, 1.24)
Obese (BMI 30.0–39.9) <sup>b</sup>	1.50	(1.16, 1.95) <sup>c</sup>	1.05	(0.82, 1.33)
Morbidly obese (BMI 40+)	1.74	(1.16, 2.62) <sup>c</sup>	1.77	(1.17, 2.69) <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for maternal age, marital status, education, tobacco use, first birth, and trimester of entry into prenatal care

<sup>b</sup>Statistically significant difference between black and non-black AORs at the alpha=0.05 level

<sup>c</sup>Statistically significant at the alpha=0.05 level

BMI = body mass index

AOR = adjusted odds ratio

CI = confidence interval

(95% CI 1.16, 1.95), and the corresponding AOR for infants born to non-black mothers was 1.05 (95% CI 0.82, 1.33). The AOR for infants born to black mothers was statistically significant, indicating that the odds of infant death were 50% higher for infants born to black mothers in the overweight BMI category compared with infants born to black mothers in the normal BMI category. The corresponding AOR for infants born to non-black mothers did not indicate increased odds of infant death. These two AORs were significantly different and indicate that obesity was associated with increased odds of infant death for black women, but not for non-black women. In contrast, the AORs in the morbidly obese category were significantly associated with increased risk for infants born to both maternal race groups with similar AORs, 1.74 (95% CI 1.16, 2.62) for infants born to black mothers, and 1.77 (95% CI 1.17, 2.69) for infants born to non-black mothers.

Additionally, in Table 4, the AORs for infant death in the underweight category were significantly different for infants born to black vs. non-black mothers. In the black underweight category, the AOR was 0.55 (95% CI 0.28, 1.09); in the non-black underweight category, the AOR was 1.28 (95% CI 0.94, 1.73). The 0.55 AOR was significantly different from the 1.28 AOR.

## DISCUSSION

This analysis indicates that maternal obesity is associated with increased odds of infant death. This association was found before and after adjusting for maternal race, marital status, age, education, first birth, tobacco use, and trimester of entry into prenatal care. The results also suggest a different pattern of increased infant mortality odds for infants born to black and non-black women who are underweight or obese. High maternal BMI was associated with increased risk of infant death for infants born to overweight or obese black women, but not for infants born to overweight or obese non-black women. The difference between the odds ratios for infants born to black and non-black women was statistically significant in the underweight and in the obese categories. However, the difference was in opposite directions for these two categories, with the underweight category being associated with lower risk of infant death for infants born to black mothers and higher risk of death for infants born to non-black mothers. In the obese category, this pattern was different in that infants born to black women in this category were associated with higher risk of infant death, while infants born to non-black women were not. In the morbidly obese category, infants born to both black

and non-black women experienced approximately the same increase in odds of infant death.

One potential source of bias in this analysis was the exclusion of records due to missing values for the required variables. As previously noted, births that were linked to infant deaths were more likely to be excluded from the analysis due to missing values. However, because more than 90% of the birth records and more than 78% of the infant death records were included in this analysis, it seems unlikely that the conclusions of this analysis have been substantively affected.

Another potential source of bias was the underreporting of maternal medical factors on the birth record, which were used to directly control for association with the analysis variables. If these conditions were present, but not recorded on the birth record, the incompleteness would have caused those cases to be misclassified as not having these factors. However, the results were virtually the same when cases with these maternal medical factors were excluded; therefore, any underreporting of these factors was unlikely to have affected the results.

## CONCLUSIONS

This study's findings result in adding increased risk of infant death to the list of poor health outcomes associated with obesity. In April 2006, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) published "Recommendations to Improve Preconception Health and Health Care—United States," which emphasized the need to improve a woman's health prior to conception.<sup>25</sup> In this publication, obesity was one of 14 risk factors emphasized to be addressed prior to pregnancy, and was associated with the perinatal adverse outcomes of neural tube defects, preterm delivery, diabetes, cesarean section, and hypertensive and thromboembolic disease.

A recent article reviewing the history of preconception care noted a current trend among some medical groups to integrate preconception care into primary health care,<sup>26</sup> but not all women have access to primary health care. Considering that approximately 18% of adults 18 to 64 years of age did not have a usual source of health care in 2004–2005, and about 13% were women (age adjusted),<sup>1</sup> it is important that interventions are offered outside traditional health-care settings. One recommendation by the CDC workgroup was the integration of aspects of preconception health into existing local public health and related programs, including community-based approaches.<sup>25</sup> The integration of an important component of preconception



health and obesity prevention into effective community-based interventions may lead to beneficial outcomes for the overall population, including women of childbearing age.

Further investigation is needed to determine if a direct association exists between maternal weight (both obesity and underweight) and infant death. Researchers should be encouraged to conduct analyses for U.S. states that have included maternal height and pre-pregnancy weight on the birth certificate to assess the consistency and strength of associations between infant mortality and maternal pre-pregnancy weight. Given the relationships of social, economic, and environmental factors on health, future analyses should also consider the role of other important variables, such as income, health-care access, and quality, when assessing risks of poor perinatal outcomes.

## REFERENCES

1. National Center for Health Statistics (US). Health, United States, 2007, with chartbook on trends in the health of Americans. Hyattsville (MD): NCHS; 2007.
2. Flegal KM, Graubard BI, Williamson DF, Gail MH. Excess deaths associated with underweight, overweight, and obesity. *JAMA* 2005; 293:1861-7.
3. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, McDowell MA, Tabak CJ, Flegal KM. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in the United States, 1999–2004. *JAMA* 2006;295:1549-55.
4. Baeten JM, Bukuski EA, Lambe M. Pregnancy complications and outcomes among overweight and obese nulliparous women. *Am J Public Health* 2001;91:436-40.
5. Lu GC, Rouse DJ, DuBard M, Cliver S, Kimberlin D, Hauth JC. The effect of the increasing prevalence of maternal obesity on perinatal morbidity. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2001;185:845-9.
6. Cedergren MI, Källen BAJ. Maternal obesity and infant heart defects. *Obes Res* 2003;11:1065-71.
7. Ehrenberg HM, Mercer BM, Catalano PM. The influence of obesity and diabetes on the prevalence of macrosomia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2004;191:964-8.
8. Watkins ML, Rassmussen SA, Honein MA, Botto LD, Moore CA. Maternal obesity and risk for birth defects. *Pediatrics* 2003;111(5 Part 2):1152-8.
9. Scialli AR; Public Affairs Committee of the Teratology Society. Teratology public affairs committee position paper: maternal obesity and pregnancy. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol* 2006;76:73-7.
10. Nohr EA, Bech BH, Davies MJ, Frydenberg M, Henriksen TB, Olsen J. Prepregnancy obesity and fetal death: a study within the Danish national birth cohort. *Obstet Gynecol* 2005;106:250-9.
11. Cnattingius S, Bergström R, Lipworth L, Kramer MS. Prepregnancy weight and the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes. *N Engl J Med* 1998;338:147-52.
12. Kristensen J, Vestergaard M, Wisborg K, Kesmodel U, Secher NJ. Pre-pregnancy weight and the risk of stillbirth and neonatal death. *BJOG* 2005;112:403-8.
13. Cedergren MI. Maternal morbid obesity and the risk of adverse pregnancy outcome. *Obstet Gynecol* 2004;103:219-24.
14. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Committee opinion number 315, September 2005. Obesity in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2005;106:671-5.
15. National Center for Health Statistics (US). Report of the Panel to Evaluate U.S. Standard Certificates. Washington: NCHS; 2000.
16. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. Clinical guidelines on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults: the evidence report. Washington: National Institutes of Health (US); 1998. NIH Publication 98-4083.
17. National Task Force on the Prevention and Treatment of Obesity. Overweight, obesity, and health risk. *Arch Intern Med* 2000; 160:898-904.
18. O'Brien TE, Ray JG, Chan W. Maternal body mass index and the risk of preeclampsia: a systemic overview. *Epidemiology* 2003; 14:368-74.
19. Fretts RC. Etiology and prevention of stillbirth. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2005;193:1923-35.
20. Hedderson MM, Ferrara A, Sacks DA. Gestational diabetes mellitus and lesser degrees of pregnancy hyperglycemia: association with increased risk of preterm birth. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003;102:850-6.
21. Gaugler-Senden IP, Huijssoon AG, Visser W, Steegers EA, de Groot CJ. Maternal and perinatal outcome of preeclampsia with an onset before 24 weeks' gestation: audit in a tertiary referral center. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2006;128:216-21.
22. Jenkins SM, Head BB, Hauth JC. Severe preeclampsia at <25 weeks of gestation: maternal and neonatal outcomes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2002;186:790-5.
23. Sibai BM, Lindheimer M, Hauth J, Caritis S, VanDorsten P, Klebanoff M, et al. Risk factors for preeclampsia, abruptio placentae, and adverse neonatal outcomes among women with chronic hypertension. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:667-71.
24. Solomon CG, Seely EW. Hypertension in pregnancy. *Endocrinol Metab Clin N Am* 2006;457:157-71.
25. Johnson K, Posner SF, Biermann J, Cordero JF, Atrash HK, Parker CS, et al. Recommendations to improve preconception health and health care—United States. A report of the CDC/ATSDR Preconception Care Work Group and the Select Panel on Preconception Care. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2006;55(RR-6):1-23.
26. Freda MC, Moos MK, Curtis M. The history of preconception care: evolving guidelines and standards. *Matern Child Health J* 2006;10(5 Suppl):S43-52.